

List of Figures

Cover page Approximate decline in groundwater levels, 1940-1995.

PREFACE

- Figure 1 View from “A” Mountain at the end of the twentieth century. v
- Figure 2 Water demand and supply in the Tucson AMA. vi
- Figure 3 View from “A” Mountain at the end of the nineteenth century. vi
- Figure 4 Study area. vii

CHAPTER 1

- Figure 1-1 Tucson is located within a basin and range province with alternating mountains and valleys. 1
- Figure 1-2 Tucson area mountains and rivers. 2
- Figure 1-3 Summer storms in the area often are confined to isolated locations. 3
- Figure 1-4 Climatological factors 1987-1998. 3
- Figure 1-5 Location of cities along 30° “arid zone.” 4

CHAPTER 2

- Figure 2-1 Rincon Mountain Water truck from the early twentieth century. 5
- Figure 2-2 A well at Fort Lowell in the 1880s. 6
- Figure 2-3 The Parker and Watts Water Company office in the late nineteenth century. 7
- Figure 2-4 The growth of the City of Tucson. 8
- Figure 2-5 Population of Pima County, 1850 to date. 8
- Figure 2-6 One of Tucson’s first fire brigades. 9
- Figure 2-7 Groundwater pumping in the Upper Santa Cruz River Basin from headwaters to Pinal County. 10
- Figure 2-8 Original extent of CAP delivery areas. 11
- Figure 2-9 Aerial view of the Central Arizona Project canal. 12
- Figure 2-10 Percent bottled water users over time, CAP vs. groundwater customers. 13

- Figure 2-11 San Xavier Mission. The water table was high enough to obtain water with a windmill. 14
- Figure 2-12 Woman washing clothes in the Santa Cruz River, with the ruins of the Convento in the background. 15

CHAPTER 3

- Figure 3-1 Contribution of Tucson Water wellfields to total groundwater supply. 17
- Figure 3-2 Elevation of water table and direction of groundwater flow. 18
- Figure 3-3 Map of wells and Tucson Water wellfields. 19
- Figure 3-4 Subsidence near Eloy. 20
- Figure 3-5 A fissure can appear as a deep gash in the earth. 21
- Figure 3-6 Maximum potential subsidence in feet. 22
- Figure 3-7 Tanks from a Pima County wastewater treatment plant. 23
- Figure 3-8 Wastewater treatment facilities and distribution system. 24
- Figure 3-9 The Pima Mine Road recharge basins. 26
- Figure 3-10 CAP water deliveries to direct recharge projects in the Tucson AMA. 27
- Figure 3-11 Existing and proposed direct recharge projects. 27
- Figure 3-12 Movement of recharged water through the aquifer. 29
- Figure 3-13 The Pacific Northwest Water Plan of the 1970s proposed bringing water from Canada to a wide region including Arizona. 30
- Figure 3-14 Cistern installed as part of a graywater reuse system. 32
- Figure 3-15 A do-it-yourself water harvesting system collects rain from roof for use to irrigate a home garden. 33

CHAPTER 4

- Figure 4-1 Observers watching the 1983 flooding of the Santa Cruz River in area just north of St. Mary’s bridge. 35
- Figure 4-2 The 1983 flood destroyed homes along the Rillito Creek at First Avenue. 36
- Figure 4-3 Effects of bank protection on a riverbed. 37
- Figure 4-4 Bank stabilization structure under construction west of Campbell Avenue. 37
- Figure 4-5 Main floodway and bank reinforcement. 38
- Figure 4-6 Floods damage the Ina Road Bridge. 39

Figure 4-7 A view towards the Santa Rita Mountains around 1900, showing the Santa Cruz River and part of its watershed. 40

CHAPTER 5

- Figure 5-1 Annual water use by sector, Tucson AMA. 43
Figure 5-2 Municipal water provider service areas. 44
Figure 5-3 Water use on Tucson AMA golf courses. 46
Figure 5-4 Treated effluent at a golf course. 47
Figure 5-5 Golf courses and the reclaimed water system. 48
Figure 5-6 Typical single family home indoor water use. 49
Figure 5-7 Water is saved when a landscape consists of desert, drought-tolerant vegetation. 50
Figure 5-8 Fraction of residential lots with pool by year home built, Pima County 1920-1990. 52
Figure 5-9 The Beat the Peak Program tried many approaches to urge the public to restrict water use during peak hours. 54
Figure 5-10 Tucson Water bill for average single family residential customer, inflation-adjusted 1998 dollars. 55
Figure 5-11 Irrigated acreage in Pima County, 1900-1997. 56
Figure 5-12 Irrigated acreage in TAMA. 57
Figure 5-13 Irrigation made it possible to grow a great variety of crops in the desert. 58
Figure 5-14 1995 Industrial water use, Tucson AMA. 59
Figure 5-15 Open pit copper mine. 60
Figure 5-16 Riparian areas are vital to some 85 percent of Arizona's wildlife, including migrating birds. 61
Figure 5-17 Hikers enjoy a visit to Pima County's Cienega Creek Preserve. 62
Figure 5-18 Projects which include riparian & wildlife benefits. 63

CHAPTER 6

- Figure 6-1 Maximum extent of the TCE plume. 65
Figure 6-2 Landfills and major identified hazardous plumes in groundwater. 66
Figure 6-3 Part of a Pima County wastewater treatment plant. 69
Figure 6-4 Concern about the quality of water provided by utilities has caused many people to seek alternative sources of drinking water. 75
Figure 6-5 Out of the aborted effort to introduce CAP water to the community arose a brand of CAP humor. 77

Figure 6-6 TDS of water delivered to Tucson Water customers. 82
Figure 6-7 Sources of salinity in Colorado River. 83

CHAPTER 7

- Figure 7-1 The federal government is an important player in determining water policy, especially in water quality matters. 86
Figure 7-2 The Arizona Legislature has authority in various water management areas. 86
Figure 7-3 The origins of point source pollution are distinct and identifiable; hence a point source also is called an end-of-the-pipe source. 89
Figure 7-4 Rainfall flowing over urban surfaces picks up various constituents and forms urban runoff nonpoint source pollution. 90
Figure 7-5 Arizona's Active Management Areas and Irrigation Non-Expansion Areas. 92
Figure 7-6 Tohono O'odham ollas, or clay water jars from the nineteenth century are reminders of Native Americans' early water rights. 96
Figure 7-7 The Colorado River Basin. 98
Figure 7-8 Decorative fountains raise an issue beyond supply and demand – the aesthetics of water. 100
Figure 7-9 The Endangered Species Act is concerned with the effects of human activity on the natural environment. Above is the federally protected desert tortoise. 101
Figure 7-10 Jurisdictional boundaries. 106
Figure 7-11 Generalized model of municipal water policy. 107

AFTERWORD

Water budget flow chart. 114

List of Tables

CHAPTER 5

- Table 5-1 Selected water providers in the Tucson metropolitan area. 45
Table 5-2 Summary of water use in major irrigation areas in the Tucson AMA. 56
Table 5-3 Water delivered to Groundwater Savings Facilities. 58

CHAPTER 6

- Table 6-1 Identified groundwater contamination sites in Pima County. 67
Table 6-2 A summary comparison of ways of treating drinking water. 70
Table 6-3 Comparison of source water quality to federal standards. 74
Table 6-4 Factors which affect corrosion in water systems. 76
Table 6-5 How some southwestern communities treat their drinking water. 78
Table 6-6 Estimated operating costs of some treatment methods, not including construction costs. 84

CHAPTER 7

- Table 7-1 Agencies responsible for water management in Pima County. 87
Table 7-2 The draft water budget for the Tucson AMA. 94
Table 7-3 Largest municipal water providers serving Pima County. 102

AFTERWORD

- “Wet Water” Budget. 113
Supply & Demand Scenarios. 115