Development And Demonstration of Nitrogen Best Management Practices For Sweet Corn In The Low Desert

Jose Aguiar, UCCE
Charles Sanchez, UA
Marita Cantwell, UCD
Keith Mayberry, UCCE
Eric McGee, W.F.S.

Research Funded by: Fertilizer Research Education Program

Introduction

- Florida ranks first in sweet corn production.
- California ranks second in sweet corn production.
- New York/Georgia alternate for third place!
- Main California production areas are: Imperial, Riverside, Fresno, Contra Costa and Tulare.

Sweet Corn Imports

- Mexico
- Canada
- Peru
- Indonesia

Sweet Corn

- 6,790 acres in Imperial County (1999).
- 1,760 acres in the Coachella Valley (2002).
- 300 boxes (45 pound/box) per acre average in Imperial County and Coachella Valley
- Sweet corn is an important spring crop in the low desert. Fall crop acreage is declining.
- Large amounts of fertilizer are typically used to produce high quality sweet corn.

Methods

- Experiments were conducted in grower-cooperator fields in the Coachella Valley.
- Spring planted sweet corn typically follows winter lettuce.
- Fall planted sweet corn typically follows spring broccoli or cauliflower.
- Fertilizer practices were typical grower practices:
 - 40-60 gallons per acre of 3-35-0 preplant





Soil Sampling

- Soil samples are collected prior to planting and prior to every side dress.
- Soil samples are split into two subset of samples:
 - One sub-sample is analyzed using the N Quick Test developed by Hartz.
 - The other sub-sample is air dried, extracted with KCl and ammonium-N and Nitrate-N is determined using steam distillation (Keeney and Nelson, 1982).

Tissue Sampling

- Basal stalks were collected immediately prior to each side dress. However, in most cases the first side dress occurred before the corn had developed a basal stalk. Stalks were always collected before the second side dress.
- The stalks were oven dried, ground, and tested for nitrate-N using method of Baker & Smith (1969).

Whole plant samples

- Whole plants were collected before each sidedress.
- These plants were oven dried and weighed to assess growth response to sidedress N application.

Harvest Data

- At maturity sweet corn was harvested and graded for determination of marketable yield.
- On selected sites extensive post harvest ear quality evaluations were conducted at UC Davis Mann Lab.

Sidedress Treatments (2² factorial)

1. No sidedress N

3. Second sidedress only

2. First sidedress N only

4. First and second sidedress

SideDress Treatments (2³ factorial)

1. No sidedress N	5. First and second
	sidedress

- 2. First sidedress N only 6. First and third sidedress
- 3. Second sidedress N only 7. Second and third sidedress
- 4. Third sidedress N only 8. First, second, and third sidedress





1999 Experiments

Experiment	Crop	Planting Date	Harvest Date	Location
47	S. corn	02-13-99	06-03-99	Thermal
47A	S. corn	03-17-99	06-18-99	Indio
47B	S. corn	08-20-99	11-01-99	Thermal
47C	S. corn	08-30-99	11-16-99	Thermal

2000 Experiments

Experiment	Crop	Planting Date	Harvest Date	Location
47D	S. corn	02-04-00	05-19-00	Indio
47E	S. corn	02-22-00	05-23-00	Mecca
47F	S. corn	08-18-00	10-26-00	Thermal
47G	S. corn	08-28-00	11-16-00	Thermal

2001 Experiments

Experiment	Crop	Planting Date	Harvest Date	Location
47H	S. corn	02-16-01	05-22-01	Thermal
47I	S. corn	02-19-01	05-23-01	Indio
47J	S. corn	08-18-01	Lost	Thermal

Response of sweet corn to sidedress N in experiment 47

Sidedress	Yield Mg/ha	US#1 Mg/ha	Width cm	Length cm	Unfilled cm
0/0/0	18.9	13.3	4.95	8.54	0.26
SD/0/0	16.6	10.2	4.79	8.75	0.31
0/SD/0	23.0	15.3	5.05	8.10	0.25
0/0/SD	16.5	10.7	5.05	8.20	0.19
SD/SD/0	17.9	12.5	5.05	8.67	0.19
SD/0/SD	19.9	13.5	5.11	8.72	0.25
0/SD/SD	23.4	16.8	5.11	8.22	0.34
SD/SD/SD	15.6	9.6	4.54	8.36	0.25

Response of sweet corn to sidedress N in experiment 47B

Sidedress	Yield Mg/ha	US#1 Mg/ha	Width cm	Length cm	Unfilled cm
0/0	14.79	5.72	4.66	19.23	0.09
SD/0	14.66	5.61	4.56	19.31	0.20
0/SD	15.43	5.68	4.59	19.36	0.29
SD/SD	15.93	5.55	4.53	19.54	0.26

Yield response Observed Response No yield response

A positive response is predicted and one occurs (C)

No response is predicted but a positive response occurs (E₂)

A positive response is predicted but no response occurs (E₁)

No response is predicted and no response occurs (C)

Stalk-N<CL Yield Response

Stalk-N>CL
No Yield Response

Predicted Response

Stalk Nitrate

- 9000 PPM at the 3 leaf stage
- 12,000 PPM at the 6-leaf stage
- 11,000 PPM at the 9-leaf stage
- 9,000 PPM at the 12-leaf stage

Doerge et al. 1991

A comparison of predicted and actual response to side dress N based on stalk values.

Exp.	Side dress	Stalk	Diag.		Act. Resp.	_
47A	1	9875	S	-	-	C
47	2	5125	D	+	-	E 1
	2	5125	D	+	-	E 1
47A	2	9750	S	-	-	C
	2	10000	$\overline{\mathbf{S}}$	_	_	C

S=sufficient, D=deficient, +=positive response, -=negative response E_1 = error in diagnosis predicts response that did not occur E_2 =error in diagnosis predicts no response but a positive response occurred, C=correct response

A comparison of predicted and actual response to side dress N based on stalk values.

Exp.		Stalk	Diag.	Pred.	Act.	Diag.
	dress			Resp.	Resp.	Acc.
47B	2	16250	S	-	-	C
	2	16250	S	-	-	C
47C	2	21250	S	-	-	C
	2	22500	S	-	-	C
47	3	9500	S	-	-	C
	3	9750	S	-	-	C
	3	8250	D	+	+	C
	S=sufficient, D	10000 =deficient +=	S enositive resp	onse -=nega	tive response	C
	E_1 = error in dia					

E₂=error in diagnosis predicts no response but a positive response occurred, C=correct response

Soil quick test

- 25 PPM as preliminary soil test critical level.
- With few exceptions all soil nitrate-N levels were above this preliminary critical concentration.
- We observed a general lack of response to N fertilization.

Comparison of predicted and actual response to side dress N based on conventional soil test values 1

Exp.	Side	Soil	Diag.	Pred.	Actual	Diag.
	Dress	Test		Resp.	Resp.	Acc.
47	1	24.3	S	-	-	C
47A	1	86.6	S	-	-	C
47B	1	73.7	S	-	-	C
47C	1	65.1	S	-	-	C
47	2	35.4	S	-	-	C
	2	33.3	S	-	-	C

S=sufficient, D=deficient, +=positive response, -=negative response E₁= error in diagnosis predicts response that did not occur E₂=error in diagnosis predicts no response but a positive response occurred, C=correct response

Comparison of predicted and actual response to side dress N based on soil test values 2

Exp.	Side	Quick	Diag.	Pred.	Actual	Diag.
	Dress	Soil		Resp.	Resp.	Acc.
47A	2	44.1	S	-	-	C
	2	80.2	S	-	-	C
47B	2	91.1	S	-	-	C
	2	114.2	S	-	-	C
47C	2	50.3	S	-	-	C
	2	134.8	S	_	-	C

S=sufficient, D=deficient, +=positive response, -=negative response

 E_1 = error in diagnosis predicts response that did not occur

E₂=error in diagnosis predicts no response but a positive response occurred, C=correct response

Comparison of predicted and actual response to side dress N based on quick soil test values

Exp.	Side	Quick	Diag.	Pred.	Actual	Diag.
	Dress	Soil		Resp.	Resp.	Acc.
47	1	32.2	S	-	-	C
47A	1	31.1	S	-	-	C
47B	1	81.6	S	-	-	C
47C	1	73.4	S	-	-	C
47	2	36.2	S	-	-	C
S=suffi	and the second s	24.9 ient, +=positiv	S ve response, -	=negative res	oonse	C

 E_1 = error in diagnosis predicts response that did not occur

E₂=error in diagnosis predicts no response but a positive response occurred,

C=correct response

Comparison of predicted and actual response to side dress N based on quick soil test values 2

Exp.	Side	Quick	Diag.	Pred.	Actual	Diag.
	Dress	Soil		Resp.	Resp.	Acc.
47A	2	38.2	S	-	-	C
	2	64.4	S	-	-	C
47B	2	74.3	S	-	-	C
	2	61.9	S	-	-	C
47C	2	52.6	S	-	-	C
	2	87.1	S	_	-	C

S=sufficient, D=deficient, +=positive response, -=negative response

 E_1 = error in diagnosis predicts response that did not occur

E₂=error in diagnosis predicts no response but a positive response occurred, C=correct response

Comparison of predicted and actual response to side dress N based on quick soil test values 3

$\mathbf{E} \mathbf{x} \mathbf{p}$.	Side	Quick	Diag.	Pred.	Actual	Diag.
	Dress	Soil		Resp.	Resp.	Acc.
4 7	3	34.8	S	-	-	C
	3	37.0	S	-	-	C
	3	29.3	S	-	-	C
	3	41.8	S	-	-	C

S=sufficient, D=deficient, + =positive response, - =negative response E_1 = error in diagnosis predicts response that did not occur E_2 =error in diagnosis predicts no response but a positive response occurred, C=correct response

Response of sweet corn to sidedress N in experiment 47H

Treatment	Soil NO3-N before 1st SD	Soil NO3-N before 2 nd SD	Stalk NO3-N before 2 nd SD	Yield Mg/ha
0/0	3.1	25.1	4000	8.5
SD/0	2.9	24.1	6500	16.1
0/SD	3.0	18.4	5750	8.5
SD/SD	3.0	31.4	8000	18.5

Summary

- Overall, there was high residual N in the fields used to produce sweet corn following vegetable production.
- Generally we observed a lack of response of sweet corn to N in most experiments.
- The first sidedress generally occurred before a basal stalk was available, thus this test would not be useful for the first sidedress.

Summary (continued)

- In most instances we observed no yield response when soil nitrate-N > 25ppm.
- Additional evaluation on N responsive sites are needed to validate suitability of basal stalk and soil tests.



