Application of Animal Manure/Compost in an Irrigated Oat/Corn Rotation

Ed Martin Dept. of Ag. & Biosystems Engineering

> Maricopa Agricultural Center University of Arizona

Cohorts and Collaborators

- > Robert Freitas, Assoc. in Extension; Agricultural and Biosystems Engineering
- > Martin Karpiscak, Research Scientist; Office of Arid Land Studies
- > Charles Gerba, Professor; Soil, Water and Environmental Sciences
- > Jennifer Jannusch, Research Asst.; Agricultural and Biosystems Engineering
- Donald C. Slack, Professor and Head; Agricultural and Biosystems Engineering
- Michael Ottman, Extension Agronomist; Plants Sciences

The Problem

- The recently enacted ruling regarding the application of animal waste on agricultural lands by CAFOs has caused many questions to rise
- The ruling calls for a balance between the amount of nutrients added by the manure and the amount used by the plants and held by the soil

The Problem

- In essence, a CAFO owner cannot apply animal waste in excess of the expected plant uptake and the soil's ability to hold the nutrients applied.
- The limiting nutrients that were chosen were nitrogen and phosphorus – each state could determine which nutrient would be the limiting nutrient.

The Objective

- In Arizona, nitrogen was considered to be the limiting nutrient since surface water is not prevalent.
- The objective was to use manure/compost in an oat/corn production system and assess whether there was nitrogen buildup in the soil.

Methodology

- > In this study we looked at the soil, soil water and plant.
- > We analyzed for bacteria (*E. Coli*, *Listeria*, coliphage), estrogenic activity, and nitrogen, phosphorus and EC.

Methodology

≻The Soil

- ➢ Biological Listeria, E. Coli, coliphage
- ≻Nutrient loading N and P
- ► Salt accumulation- EC

Soil Sampling

> The soil was sampled prior to the initiation of the study to determine the levels of N, P, EC as well as any biological activity, namely *Listeria*, *E. Coli* and coliphage.

>In order to get a clean sample, a plastic insert was used with the Giddings soil sampler. The auger and other equipment were rinsed with bleach and soaked in sodium thiosulfate to assure no contamination.







Soil Sampling

> Once the sample was taken, the tubes were sealed and sent to the lab where they were cut into three pieces:

≻0-15 cm

≻15-30 cm

≽30-60 cm

> Each of these samples were analyzed for the presence of the three bacteria.











Samples were also taken to analyze for N, P and EC
Nitrogen
Nitrate - RFA
Ammonium - RFA
TKN - Digestion
Phosphorus - RFA
EC - Electrode










Methodology > The Plant > Biological – *Listeria, E. Coli,* coliphage > Nitrogen (TKN) > Yield





Addition of Manure and Compost

- > Manure and compost were added, using a spreader, in the amount determined to be removed in the harvest.
- Nitrogen concentration was determined by Kjeldahl digestion and KCl extract.

Nitrogen Analysis

- ≻ Ammonium KCl extract
- ≻Nitrate KCl extract
- Organic Nitrogen TKN minus ammonium
- ≻ Total Nitrogen TKN plus nitrate













Initial Soil Sampling


- The initial soil sampling showed that the all plots contained *E. Coli* and some coliphage. However, *Listeria* was not present in the any of the soil samples
- Elemental analysis showed that the manure and compost plots had elevated levels of nitrogen and phosphorus. This was probably due to the previous study which also included applications of manure and compost on the same plots.














- E. Coli was found in 2 of 8 irrigation water samples and 1 of 7 drainage samples.
- > Two drainage samples tested positive for coliphage.

samples.

> Only one plant sample (compost plot) tested positive for *E. Coli*. All others tests were negative.

Estrogenic Analysis

- Results indicate that estrogenic activity is consistently detected in the lysimeter samples.
- The irrigation canal water is less estrogenic, indicating addition of compounds exhibiting estrogenic activity during percolation of the water to the lysimeters.





Leachate


 Drainage and leachate samples were collected from all of the drainage lysimeters and the suction lysimeters.
Only the drainage data has been analyzed at this time.




- Lysimeters 1 and 3 (inorganic N and manure) have drained at approximately the same rate.
- Lysimeter 2 (compost) has drained less This may be due to lag time for drainage?









Summary

- > Estrogenic activity is high in both the irrigation and the drainage samples.
- ➢ Nitrogen is being leached, but at the present the amounts are low.

